BEAVER DAM LAKE

Dubois County
2007 Fish Management Report

Michelle L. Cain
Assistant Fisheries Biologist



Fisheries Section
Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Division of Fish and Wildlife
I. G. C.-South, Room W273
402 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A general survey was conducted from May 21 to 23, 2007. Submersed aquatic vegetation was sampled on July 23.
- Beaver Dam Lake has a maximum depth of 28.0 ft. The water temperature was 74°F and the Secchi disk depth was 5.6 ft.
- Submersed vegetation was found at 63% of the littoral sites to a maximum depth of 5.5 ft. Three native species, brittle naiad, chara, and slender naiad, were collected. Brittle naiad was the most frequently occurring (30%), followed by slender naiad (4%), and chara (2%).
- A total of 999 fish, representing nine species, was sampled that weighed an estimated 314 lbs. Bluegill dominated the sample by number (51%), followed by redear sunfish (18%), and largemouth bass (15%). Largemouth bass ranked first by weight (40%), followed by redear sunfish (28%), and bluegill (18%). Longear sunfish, black crappie, yellow bullhead, channel catfish, warmouth, and brown bullhead were also collected.
- Bluegill growth was excellent with age-2 and age-3 bluegill averaging 4.3 and 6.7 in. Largemouth bass growth was good with age-2 and age-3 bass averaging 9.5 and 11.0 in.
- Beaver Dam Lake provides excellent fishing for bluegill, largemouth bass, and redear sunfish. Bluegill exhibited excellent growth and 20% were at least 7.0 in. Largemouth bass grew good and were collected up to 20.6 in with 21% being at least 14.0 in. Sixty-nine percent of the redear were at least 8.0 in.
- The black crappie fishery was modeled with FAST to determine if any regulation changes should be made. Results indicated that with the current growth and assumed low fishing mortality a regulation change would not benefit the population.
- Overall, Beaver Dam Lake is an excellent all around fishery and has been since 1991. A general survey should be conducted in 2015 to check on the status of this lake.

INTRODUCTION

Beaver Dam Lake is a 147-acre impoundment located approximately 5.0 mi east of the Town of Jasper in Dubois County. The lake was constructed in 1958 as a water supply lake for the Town of Jasper and now serves as a secondary water source. The shoreline is developed with many houses and boat docks around the lake. A concrete boat ramp owned by the Jasper Park and Recreation Department is available for boat launching. Access fees are \$15.00 for an annual boat launching permit for boats without motors, \$20.00 for boats with motors of 10 horsepower or less, and \$25.00 for boats with larger outboard motors.

Past fish management practices have consisted of channel catfish stockings since 1978. Surveys in 1991 and 1999 showed that the fishery was in excellent condition. The 1999 survey revealed good fishing for bluegill, largemouth bass, redear sunfish, and black crappie. These species exhibited good growth.

METHODS

A general survey was conducted from May 21 to 23, 2007. Some of the lake's physical and chemical characteristics were measured. Submersed aquatic vegetation was sampled on July 23 using guidelines written by the Indiana Department of Natural Resources (2006).

Fish collection effort consisted of pulsed DC night electrofishing with two dippers for 0.75 h, four trap net lifts, and eight experimental-mesh gill net lifts. All fish collected were measured to the nearest 0.1 in TL. Average weights were estimated by using Fish Management District 7 averages. Scale samples were taken from a subsample of game fish for age and growth analysis. Otoliths were removed from a subsample of crappie for age and growth analysis. Fishery Analyses and Simulation Tools (FAST) software was used to model the crappie population under different minimum size limits (MSLs) (Slipke and Maceina 2000). Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) indices were calculated for largemouth bass, bluegill, and redear sunfish (Anderson and Neumann 1996). The bluegill fishing potential index (BGFP) was used to classify the quality of the bluegill fishery (Ball and Tousignant 1996). All sampling was done in accordance with the Division of Fish and Wildlife sampling guidelines (Shipman 2001).

RESULTS

Beaver Dam Lake has a maximum depth of 28.0 ft. The water temperature was 74°F and the Secchi disk depth was 5.6 ft.

Submersed vegetation was found at 63% of the littoral sites to a maximum depth of 5.5 ft. Three native species, brittle naiad, chara, and slender naiad, were collected. Brittle naiad was the most frequently occurring (30%), followed by slender naiad (4%), and chara (2%).

A total of 999 fish, representing nine species, was sampled that weighed an estimated 314 lbs. Bluegill dominated the sample by number (51%), followed by redear sunfish (18%), and largemouth bass (15%). Largemouth bass ranked first by weight (40%), followed by redear sunfish (28%), and bluegill (18%). Longear sunfish, black crappie, yellow bullhead, channel catfish, warmouth, and brown bullhead were also collected. Species collected in past surveys include bluntnose minnow, green sunfish, blackstripe topminnow, creek chubsucker, and grass pickerel.

A total of 511 bluegill was sampled that weighed 58 lbs. They ranged in length from 1.0 to 8.4 in. The bluegill electrofishing catch rate was 554.7/h compared to 761.0/h in 1999. The net catch rates were 7.3/gill net lift and 9.3/trap net lift. Growth was excellent with age-2 and age-3 bluegill averaging 4.3 and 6.7 in. Bluegill growth in 1999 was nearly identical to 2007.

The bluegill PSD substantially increased from 15 (1999) to 30. The suggested PSD range indicating a balanced bluegill fishery is 20 to 60 (Anderson and Neumann 1996). The RSD-7 was 14 and RSD-8 was 0 compared to the 1999 values of 10 and 2. The BGFP index value was 27 compared to 30 in 1999; both scores classified the lake as an "excellent" bluegill fishery.

A total of 176 redear sunfish was collected that weighed 88 lbs. They ranged in length from 2.9 to 11.3 in. The redear electrofishing catch rate was 58.7/h compared to 27.0/h in 1999. The net catch rates were 0.1/gill net lift and 32.8/trap net lift. Growth was excellent with age-3 and age-4 redear averaging 8.7 and 9.6 in. Redear growth in 1999 was similar with age-3 and age-4 fish averaging 7.9 and 9.0 in. The redear PSD was 66 and the RSD-9 was 32 compared to 44 and 39 in 1999.

A total of 151 largemouth bass was collected that weighed 125 lbs. They ranged in length from 3.6 to 20.6 in. The largemouth electrofishing catch rate was 188.0/h compared to 291.0/h (excluding YOY) in 1999. The net catch rates were 1.0/gill net lift and 1.0/trap net lift.

Growth was good with age-2 and age-3 bass averaging 9.5 and 11.0 in. Bass were reaching 14.0 in the fourth year of growth. Growth was similar in 1999 with age-2 and age-3 bass averaging 8.3 and 11.5 in.

The largemouth bass PSD increased from 43 (1999) to 52. The suggested PSD range indicating a balanced largemouth bass fishery is 40 to 70 (Anderson and Neumann 1996). The RSD-14 was 24 and RSD-15 was 17 compared to the 1999 values of 13 and 8.

A total of 52 black crappie was collected that weighed 19 lbs. They ranged in length from 3.1 to 10.9 in. The catch rates were 4.0/electrofishing hour, 5.0/gill net lift, and 2.0/trap net lift. The gill net catch rate in 1999 was 4.0/lift. Growth was good with age-2 and age-5 crappie averaging 7.9 and 10.1 in. However, growth appears to be climaxing at 10.5 in. Growth was also good in 1999 with age-2 and age-4 crappie averaging 8.1 and 11.8 in.

DISCUSSION

Beaver Dam Lake provides excellent fishing for bluegill, largemouth bass, and redear sunfish and good fishing for crappie. Bluegill exhibited excellent growth and 20% were at least 7.0 in. Largemouth bass grew good and were collected up to 20.6 in with 21% being at least 14.0 in. Sixty-nine percent of the redear were at least 8.0 in and 50% of the crappie were at least 9.0 in.

The bluegill population has improved since 1999. The PSD doubled to 30 and the RSD-7 is 14. Also, growth was fast at all ages. Beaver Dam Lake should continue to provide excellent bluegill fishing for several years.

The largemouth bass population has also improved since 1999. The PSD slightly increased and the RSD-14 nearly doubled to 24. Five percent of bass collected were at least 18.0 in. The bass electrofishing catch rate declined from 291.0/h in 1999 to 188.0/h, however this is still a good catch rate.

The redear sunfish fishery is one of the best in the area. These fish are fast growing and were collected up to 11.0 in. Twenty percent of redear were at least 10.0 in.

The black crappie fishery was modeled to determine if a regulation change would improve the population. Results from FAST indicate that under a 9.0 in minimum size limit, (MSL) yield would slightly decrease with a conditional mortality (cm) of 0.50 and slightly increase with a cm of 0.30. Imposition of a 10.0 in MSL would slightly decrease yield under

both estimates. Imposing a 9.0 or 10.0 in MSL would increase the numbers of larger crappie, if fishing mortality was high and if growth rates did not slow around age 4. However, at this time no regulation changes are recommended for the fishery because fishing mortality appears to be low due to older crappie not exceeding 10.5 in.

The channel catfish population is definitely being utilized as only four fish were collected. The fish collected were at least 14.0 in. Due to the low catch rates, it is recommended that the biennial catfish stockings continue.

Overall, Beaver Dam Lake is an excellent fishery and has been since 1991. It is recommended that a general survey be conducted in 2015 to check on the status of this fishery.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Channel catfish should continue to be stocked biennially.
- A general survey should be conducted in 2015 to check on the status of the fishery.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, R. O., and R. M. Neumann. 1996. Length, weight, and associated structural indices. Pages 447-481 *in* B. R. Murphy and D. W. Willis, editors. Fisheries techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Ball, R. L. and J. N. Tousignant. 1996. The development of an objective rating system to assess bluegill fishing in lakes and ponds. Research report. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Indianapolis. 18 pp.
- Indiana Department of Natural Resources. 2006. Tier II aquatic vegetation survey protocol. 9 pp.
- Shipman, S. 2001. Manual of fishery survey methods. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Indianapolis. 67 pp.
- Slipke, J. W. and M. J. Maceina. 2000. Fishery analyses and simulation tools. Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama.

Submitted by: Michelle L. Cain, Assistant Fisheries Biologist

Date: January 4, 2008

Approved by: Daniel P. Carnahan, Fisheries Biologist

Date: January 23, 2008

Approved by:

Brian M. Schoenung, Fisheries Supervisor

Date: April 25, 2008

Appendix Fisheries Survey Data

LAKE SURVE	EY REPORT		Type of Survey Initial Survey X Re-Survey						
Lake Name			County			Date of survey (M	lonth, day, year)		
Beaver Dam Lak	e		Dubois				21 to 23, 2007		
Biologist's name						Date of approval	(Month, day, year)		
Michelle L. Cain						Ap	ril 25, 2008		
			LOCATIO	ANI .					
Quadrangle Name			LOCATIO Range	N		Section			
Quadrangio Hamo	Dubois		4W				, 28, 33, 34, 35		
Township Name	Dubois		Nearest Town	700		20, 21	, 20, 33, 34, 33		
	1S		Celestine						
	-								
			ACCESSIBI						
State owned public a	ccess site		Privately owner	•			Other access site		
				boat ran	np owned b	by City of Jaspe	er		
Surface acres	Maximum depth	Average depth	Acre feet	_	Water level		Extreme fluctuations		
147 Location of benchma	28	13	1,89	6	49	98 MSL	Winter drawdown		
	NE1/4, NW 1/4, SE1/4, Section 33								
INC 1/4, INVV 1/4,	3L 1/4, 3ection 33								
			INLETS	}					
Name		Location			Origin				
Eight intermittent	streams	Runoff							
		1							
			OUTLET	S					
Name		Location							
Beaver Creek		SW1/4, SE1/4	, NW1/4, Se	ction 27					
Water level control									
	valve about 6.0 ft b				LODEO.	<u> </u>			
	OOL	ELEVATION	(Feet WSL)	,	ACRES		Bottom type		
TOP	OF DAM						Boulder		
TOP OF FLOOD	CONTROL POOL						X Gravel		
TOP OF CONS	ERVATION POOL	498	3		147		X Sand		
TOP OF MI	NIMUM POOL						x Muck		
							X Clay		
SIRE	EAMBED						Marl		
							Iviaii		
Watershed use									
Agriculture, fores									
Development of shor									
One city owned r	mooring area by bo	at ramp. Numer	ous private	cottages	, homes, a	nd boat docks	Some rip rap.		
Previous surveys and	d investigations s surveys in 1962, 1	966 1968 197	7 1087 100	1 and 1	999				
General IIshenes	s surveys III 1902,	1000, 1000, 197	1, 1901, 199	i, aliu l	JJJ.				
Ī									

			SAM	PLING EFF	ORT			
ELECTROFISHING	Day hours			Night hours		Total hours		
ELECTROFISHING					0.75	0.75		
TRAP NETS	Number of trap	os		Number of Lift	S	Total effort		
IRAPINEIS	2				2	4		
CILL NETC	Number of net	S		Number of Lift	s	Total effort		
GILL NETS	4				2	8		
ROTENONE	Gallons	ppm	Acre F	eet Treated	SHORELINE SEINING	Number of 100 Foot Seine Hauls		

	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS									
Color				Turbidity						
Green				5 Feet		7 Inches (SECCHI DISH	()			
Alkalinity (ppm)*				рН						
	Surface: 51.3	Bottom:	51.3		Surface: 9.5	Botto	om: 6.5			
Conductivity:				Air temperature:		°F				
		micromho	S			ı				
Water chemistry GF	PS coordinates:									
		1	v 38.402	232 w -86.84300						

		TEN	MPERATURE AN	D DISSOLV	ED OXYGEN	I (D.O.)		
DEPTH (FEET)	Degrees (°F)	D.O. (ppm)	DEPTH (FEET)	DEGREES (°F)	D.O. (ppm)	DEPTH (FEET)	DEGREES (°F)	D.O. (ppm)
SURFACE	74.4		36			72		
2			38			74		
4			40			76		
6			42			78		
8			44			80		
10			46			82		
12			48			84		
14			50			86		
16			52			88		
18			54			90		
20			56			92		
22			58			94		
24			60			96		
26			62			98		
28			64			100		
30			66					
32			68					
34			70					

COMMENTS
Dissolved Oxygen meter was broken. Secchi disk reading was 1.5 ft on July 23.

	Occurrence and Abundance of Submersed Aquatic Plants										
Lake:	Beaver Dam	n Lake	Secchi (ft): 1.5	SE Mean Species / Site: 0.07							
Date:	7/23/2007		Littoral Sites w/Plants: 17	Mean Natives / Site: 0.36							
Littora	I Depth (ft):	5.5	Number of Species: 3	SE Mean Natives / Site: 0.07							
Littora	l Sites:	27	Max. Species / Site: 2	Species Diversity: 0.29							
Total S	Sites:	50	Mean Species / Site: 0.36	Native Diversity: 0.29							

	Frequency of	Frequency of Score Frequency				
<u>Species</u>	<u>Occurrence</u>	0	1	3	5	Dominance
Brittle naiad	30	70	18	2	10	14.8
Chara	2	98	2	0	0	0.4
Slender naiad	4	96	4	0	0	0.8

DOMESTICE OF	I IOI ILO COL	LECTED BY NUM		HI
NUMBER	PERCENT	LENGTH RANGE (inches)	WEIGHT (pounds)	PERCENT
511	51.2	1.0 - 8.4	57.82	18.4
176	17.6	2.9 - 11.3	88.33	28.2
151	15.1	3.6 - 20.6	125.47	40.0
95	9.5	2.7 - 6.4	5.04	1.6
52	5.2	3.1 - 10.9	18.71	6.0
7	0.7	7.4 - 11.5	3.73	1.2
4	0.4	14.2 - 24.6	13.27	4.2
2	0.2	2.7 - 8.4	0.20	0.1
1	0.1	12.7	1.05	0.3
999			313.62	
	511 176 151 95 52 7 4 2	511 51.2 176 17.6 151 15.1 95 9.5 52 5.2 7 0.7 4 0.4 2 0.2 1 0.1	511 51.2 1.0 - 8.4 176 17.6 2.9 - 11.3 151 15.1 3.6 - 20.6 95 9.5 2.7 - 6.4 52 5.2 3.1 - 10.9 7 0.7 7.4 - 11.5 4 0.4 14.2 - 24.6 2 0.2 2.7 - 8.4 1 0.1 12.7	NUMBER PERCENT (inches) (pounds) 511 51.2 1.0 - 8.4 57.82 176 17.6 2.9 - 11.3 88.33 151 15.1 3.6 - 20.6 125.47 95 9.5 2.7 - 6.4 5.04 52 5.2 3.1 - 10.9 18.71 7 0.7 7.4 - 11.5 3.73 4 0.4 14.2 - 24.6 13.27 2 0.2 2.7 - 8.4 0.20 1 0.1 12.7 1.05

 $^{^{\}star}\text{Common names}$ of fishes recognized by the American Fisheries Society.

	NUMBER, PERCENTAGE, WEIGHT, AND AGE OF BLUEGILL								
TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERAGE WEIGHT	AGE OF	TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERAGE WEIGHT	AGE OF
(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pounds)	FISH	(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pounds)	FISH
1.0	5	1.0	0.01	0, 1	19.0				
1.5	10	2.0	0.01	1	19.5				
2.0	28	5.5	0.01	1	20.0				
2.5	42	8.2	0.01	1	20.5				
3.0	48	9.4	0.02	1, 2	21.0				
3.5	73	14.3	0.03	2	21.5				
4.0	71	13.9	0.05	2	22.0				
4.5	29	5.7	0.07	2	22.5				
5.0	15	2.9	0.09	2	23.0				
5.5	17	3.3	0.13	2, 3	23.5				
6.0	33	6.5	0.17	3, 4	24.0				
6.5	42	8.2	0.22	3, 4	24.5				
7.0	64	12.5	0.28	3, 4	25.0				
7.5	29	5.7	0.34	4, 5	25.5				
8.0	5	1.0	0.41	5, 6	26.0				
8.5					TOTAL	511			
9.0									
9.5									
10.0									
10.5									
11.0									
11.5									
12.0									
12.5									
13.0									
13.5									
14.0									
14.5									
15.0									
15.5									
16.0									
16.5									
17.0									
17.5									
18.0									
18.5									
	ROFISHING ATCH	554	.7/h	GILL NET CATCH	7	.3/lift	TRAP NET (CATCH	9.3/lift

		NUMBER, P	PERCENTAC	SE, WEIGH	HT, AND AGE OF REDEAR SUNFISH				
TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH	TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH
1.0					19.0				
1.5					19.5				
2.0					20.0				
2.5	1	0.6	0.02	1	20.5				
3.0	1	0.6	0.02	1	21.0				
3.5	1	0.6	0.02	1	21.5				
4.0	1	0.6	0.05	1	22.0				
4.5	1	0.6	0.07	2	22.5				
5.0					23.0				
5.5					23.5				
6.0	6	3.4	0.17	2	24.0				
6.5	22	12.5	0.22	2	24.5				
7.0	17	9.7	0.27	2	25.0				
7.5	5	2.8	0.33	2, 3	25.5				
8.0	11	6.3	0.40	2, 3	26.0				
8.5	25	14.2	0.48	3, 4	TOTAL	176			
9.0	25	14.2	0.57	3, 4					
9.5	24	13.6	0.66	4					
10.0	18	10.2	0.76	4					
10.5	16	9.1	0.87	5					
11.0	2	1.1	0.98	5					
11.5									
12.0									
12.5									
13.0									
13.5									
14.0									
14.5									
15.0									
15.5									
16.0									
16.5									
17.0									
17.5									
18.0									
18.5									
	ROFISHING ATCH	58.	7/h	GILL NET CATCH	0	.1/lift	TRAP NET (CATCH	32.8/lift

		NUMBER, P	ERCENTAG	E, WEIGHT, AND AGE OF LARGEMOUTH BASS					
TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH	TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH
1.0					19.0	1	0.7	3.95	7
1.5					19.5	4	2.6	4.04	8
2.0					20.0				
2.5					20.5	1	0.7	4.72	9
3.0					21.0				
3.5	3	2.0	0.03	0, 1	21.5				
4.0	3	2.0	0.03	0, 1	22.0				
4.5	6	4.0	0.04	0, 1	22.5				
5.0	5	3.3	0.06	1, 2	23.0				
5.5	8	5.3	0.08	1	23.5				
6.0	7	4.6	0.10	1	24.0				
6.5	3	2.0	0.13	1, 2	24.5				
7.0					25.0				
7.5	1	0.7	0.20	1	25.5				
8.0	2	1.3	0.24	2	26.0				
8.5	3	2.0	0.28	2	TOTAL	151			
9.0	11	7.3	0.33	2					
9.5	13	8.6	0.39	2					
10.0	12	7.9	0.46	2, 3					
10.5	8	5.3	0.53	2, 3					
11.0	3	2.0	0.62	3					
11.5	5	3.3	0.71	3, 4					
12.0	5	3.3	0.80	4					
12.5	3	2.0	0.91	4					
13.0	13	8.6	1.02	4					
13.5	6	4.0	1.15	4					
14.0	8	5.3	1.31	4, 5					
14.5	3	2.0	1.47	4, 5					
15.0	3	2.0	1.68	4, 5					
15.5	2	1.3	1.88	5					
16.0	3	2.0	2.08	5					
16.5	4	2.6	2.40	5					
17.0									
17.5									
18.0	2	1.3	3.19	7					
18.5									
	ROFISHING ATCH	188	.0/h	GILL NET CATCH	1	.0/lift	TRAP NET (CATCH	1.0/lift

		AGE OF BLA	ACK CRAPPI	E					
TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH	TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUMBER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH
1.0					19.0				
1.5					19.5				
2.0					20.0				
2.5					20.5				
3.0	2	3.8	0.02	1	21.0				
3.5	2	3.8	0.03	1, 2	21.5				
4.0					22.0				
4.5	3	5.8	0.05	1	22.5				
5.0					23.0				
5.5	1	1.9	0.10	2	23.5				
6.0					24.0				
6.5					24.5				
7.0	2	3.8	0.21	2	25.0				
7.5	3	5.8	0.24	2	25.5				
8.0	4	7.7	0.28	2	26.0				
8.5	9	17.3	0.36	2	TOTAL	52			
9.0	1	1.9	0.43	2					
9.5	15	28.8	0.48	3, 4, 5, 6					
10.0	5	9.6	0.60	3, 5, 6					
10.5	5	9.6	0.79	4, 5, 6					
11.0									
11.5									
12.0									
12.5									
13.0									
13.5									
14.0									
14.5									
15.0									
15.5									
16.0									
16.5									
17.0									
17.5									
18.0									
18.5									
	ROFISHING ATCH	4.0	0/h	GILL NET CATCH	5	5.0/lift	TRAP NET (CATCH	2.0/lift

BLUEGILL AGE-LENGTH KEY										
Length	Total	Sub-				GE .				
group (in)	number	sample	1	2	3	4	5	6		
1.0	5	4	4							
1.5	10	5	10							
2.0	28	5	28							
2.5	42	5	42							
3.0	48	5	10	38						
3.5	73	5		73						
4.0	71	5		71						
4.5	29	5		29						
5.0	15	5		15						
5.5	17	5		7	10					
6.0	33	5		26	7					
6.5	42	6			21	21				
7.0	64	6			21	43				
7.5	29	6				24	5			
8.0	5	4					4	1		
Totals	511	76	93	260	59	88	9	1		

AGE-LENGTH KEY SUMMARY										
		Mean			Lower	Upper				
Age	Number	TL	Var	SE	95%CI	95%CI				
1	93	2.4	0.42	0.07	2.3	2.6				
2	260	4.3	0.77	0.05	4.2	4.4				
3	59	6.7	0.29	0.07	6.6	6.8				
4	88	7.3	0.13	0.04	7.2	7.3				
5	9	8.0	0.07	0.09	7.8	8.1				
6	1	8.3			8.3	8.3				

REDEAR SUNFISH AGE-LENGTH KEY Length Total Sub-AGE group (in) number sample 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 9.0 9.5 10.0 10.5 11.0 Totals

	AGE-LENGTH KEY SUMMARY									
Mean Lower										
Age	Number	TL	Var	SE	95%CI	95%CI				
1	4	3.5	0.42	0.32	2.9	4.1				
2	50	6.9	0.29	0.08	6.8	7.1				
3	38	8.7	0.20	0.07	8.5	8.8				
4	67	9.6	0.24	0.06	9.5	9.8				
5	18	10.8	0.03	0.04	10.7	10.9				

LARGEMOUTH BASS AGE-LENGTH KEY											
	T	0.1					105				
Length	Total	Sub-	4				AGE				
group (in)	number	sample	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
3.5	3	2	2								
4.0	3	1	1								
4.5	6	5	5	4							
5.0	5	5	4	1							
5.5	8	5	8								
6.0	7	4	7	4							
6.5	3	3	2	1							
7.0	4	4	4								
7.5	1	1	1	0							
8.0	2	2		2 2							
8.5	3 11	3 5		∠ 11							
9.0 9.5		5		13							
	13 12	5			4						
10.0 10.5		6		8 3	4						
11.0	8	6		3	5 3						
11.5	3	3 5 3 3			3 4	4					
12.0	5 5	5 2			4	1					
12.0	3	ა ი				5 3					
		3				ა 13					
13.0	13	4									
13.5	6	5				6	0				
14.0	8	7				6	2 2 2 2 3				
14.5	3 3	3				1	2				
15.0	3	3				1	2				
15.5	2 3	2					2				
16.0 16.5	3 4	3 3 2 3 3					3 4				
17.0	4	3					4				
17.0											
17.5	2	2							2		
18.5	2	2							2		
19.0	1	1							1		
19.0	1	1							I	1	
	4	4								4	
20.0 20.5	1	1									1
	1	1	20	41	16	26	15		2	1	1
Totals	151	105	30	41	16	36	15		3	4	1

		AGE-LEN	GTH KEY S	SUMMARY		
		Mean			Lower	Upper
Age	Number	TL	Var	SE	95%CI	95%CI
1	30	5.6	0.80	0.16	5.3	5.9
2	41	9.5	1.01	0.16	9.2	9.8
3	16	11.0	0.33	0.14	10.7	11.2
4	36	13.4	0.60	0.13	13.1	13.6
5	15	15.7	0.86	0.24	15.2	16.2
6						
7	3	18.6	0.33	0.33	17.9	19.3
8	4	19.8			19.8	19.8
9	1	20.8				

BLACK CRAPPIE AGE-LENGTH KEY Length Total Sub-AGE group (in) number sample 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 9.0 1 9.5 10.0 10.5 Totals

	AGE-LENGTH KEY SUMMARY										
		Lower	Upper								
Age	Number	TL	Var	SE	95%CI	95%CI					
1	4	3.8	0.50	0.35	3.0	4.5					
2	23	7.9	1.47	0.25	7.4	8.5					
3	3	9.9	0.08	0.17	9.6	10.3					
4	5	10.0	0.20	0.20	9.6	10.4					
5	13	10.1	0.18	0.12	9.9	10.3					
6	4	10.1	0.23	0.24	9.6	10.6					

	GPS LOCATION OF SAMPLING EQUIPMENT											
GILL NETS				TRAP N		ELECTROFISHING						
1	N 38.39830	W -86.83368	1	N 38.39835	W -86.83072	1	N 38.39823	W -86.83408				
2	N 38.40018	W -86.83440	2	N 38.39610	W -86.83012		N 38.39628	W -86.83120				
3	N 38.40293	W -86.83950	3	N 38.39670	W -86.84757	2	N 38.39977	W -86.83320				
4	N 38.40137	W -86.84437	4	N 38.39450	W -86.84610		N 38.40197	W -86.83543				
5	N 38.39815	W -86.84443	5	Ν	W	3	N 38.39687	W -86.84742				
6	N 38.39618	W -86.84577	6	N	W	Ľ	N 38.39563	W -86.84792				
7	N 38.39762	W -86.83827	7	N	W	4	N	W				
8	N 38.39935	W -86.83385	8	N	W		N	W				
9	N	W	9	N	W	5	N	W				
10	N	W	10	N	W	Ľ	N	W				
11	N	W	11	N	W	6	N	W				
12	N	W	12	N	W		N	W				
13	Ν	W	13	N	W	7	Ν	W				
14	N	W	14	N	W		N	W				
15	N	W	15	N	W	8	Ν	W				
16	N	W	16	N	W		N	W				
17	N	W	17	N	W	9	N	W				
18	N	W	18	N	W		N	W				
19	Ν	W	19	Ν	W	10	Ν	W				
20	N	W	20	N	W		Ν	W				
						11	Ν	W				
						11	N	W				
						12	N	W				
						12	Ν	W				
						13	N	W				
						10	N	W				
						14	N	W				
							N	W				
						15	N	W				
						13	N	W				
						16	N	W				
						10	N	W				
						17	Ν	W				
						17	N	W				
						18	N	W				
						10	N	W				
						19	N	W				
						19	N	W				
						20	N	W				
						٢٥	N	W				